

# VARIETIES FIBERED BY GOOD MINIMAL MODELS

CHING-JUI LAI

ABSTRACT. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an algebraic fiber space such that the general fiber has a good minimal model. We show that if  $f$  is the Iitaka fibration or if  $f$  is the Albanese map with relative dimension no more than three, then  $X$  has a good minimal model.

Two of the main conjectures in higher dimensional birational geometry are:

- **Existence of minimal models (Mori's program):** Its aim is to provide a nice representative, a *minimal model*, in the birational class of a given variety  $X$ . A minimal model is required to have a nef canonical divisor and hence it is the “simplest” one in its birational class.
- **Abundance conjecture:** Any minimal model has semiample canonical system so that the  $m$ -th canonical system is base point free for some  $m > 0$ .

If for a variety we can find a minimal model such that abundance holds, then we say this variety has a *good minimal model*. Existence of a good minimal model has been established in several cases. Amongst these

- $\dim(X) \leq 3$  by S. Mori, Y. Kawamata, and others,
- varieties of general type by [BCHM06], and
- maximal Albanese dimensional varieties by [Fuj09].

In this paper we prove the existence of good minimal models in the following cases:

- The general fiber of the Iitaka fibration of a smooth projective variety  $X$  has a good minimal model (Theorem 4.4).
- The general fiber of the Albanese morphism of a smooth projective variety  $X$  has dimension no more than three (Theorem 4.5).

The original motivation for this paper is to study **Ueno's Conjecture C** ([Uen75, §11]).

**Conjecture 0.1.** *If  $f : X^n \rightarrow Y^m$  is an algebraic fiber space of smooth projective varieties with general fiber  $F$ , then we have*

- $C_{n,m} : \kappa(X) \geq \kappa(F) + \kappa(Y)$ , and
- $C_{n,m}^+ : \kappa(X) \geq \kappa(F) + \text{Max}\{\text{Var}(f), \kappa(Y)\}$  if  $\kappa(Y) \geq 0$ , where  $\text{Var}(f)$  is the variation of  $f$  (cf. [Mor85, §6 and §7]).

Conjecture C has also been established in many cases. For example,

- $C_{n,m}^+$  holds if the general fiber  $F$  of  $f$  has a good minimal model by [Kaw85], and
- $C_{n,m}$  holds if the general fiber  $F$  of  $f$  is of maximal Albanese dimension by [Fuj02a].

(The reader can find a more complete list of the known results in [Mor85, §6 and §7] which we do not repeat here.) A related conjecture, **Viehweg's Question Q(f)** (cf. [Mor85, §7]) asks : Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an algebraic fiber space with  $\text{Var}(f) = \dim(Y)$ , then is  $f_*(\omega_{X/Y}^k)$  big for some positive integer  $k$ ? It is known that a positive answer to  $Q(f)$  implies  $C_{n,m}^+$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E30.

*Key words and phrases.* Good minimal models.

Kawamata proved in [Kaw85] that  $Q(f)$  holds when the general fiber  $F$  has a good minimal model. A question of Mori in [Mor85, Remark 7.7] then asks if  $Q(f)$  holds by assuming that the general fiber of the Iitaka fibration of  $F$  has a good minimal model. Hence a corollary of the above mentioned results gives a positive answer to Mori's question:

**Corollary 0.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an algebraic fiber space of normal projective varieties with general fiber  $F$ . Suppose that the general fiber of the Iitaka fibration of  $F$  has a good minimal model. Then Ueno's conjecture  $C$  holds on  $f$ .*

This paper is organized as follow: We recall some definitions in section 1. In section 2 we establish the necessary ingredients for constructing good minimal models. In section 3 we prove a nonvanishing theorem by using generic vanishing results. Section 4 is the heart of this paper where we construct our good minimal models in Theorems 4.2, 4.4, and 4.5.

**Remark 0.3.** After the completion of this paper, we were informed that Professor Yum-Tong Siu has announced a proof of the abundance conjecture in [Siu09] which in particular would imply many of the results in this paper.

**Acknowledgment.** I am grateful to my advisor, Professor Christopher Hacon, for many useful suggestions, discussions, and his generosity.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We work over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ . We refer the readers to [KM98] and [BCHM06] for the standard terminology on singularities and the minimal model program, and to [Laz04b] and [BCHM06] for definition of a multiplier ideal sheaf and the related asymptotic constructions.

In this paper, a pair  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$  consists of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety  $X$  with an effective  $\mathbb{R}$ -Weil divisor  $\Delta$  such that  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier and a projective morphism  $X \rightarrow U$  to a quasi-projective variety  $U$ . We recall the definition of a minimal model here.

**Definition 1.1.** For a log canonical pair  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$ , we say that a birational map  $\phi : (X, \Delta) \dashrightarrow (X', \Delta' = \phi_*\Delta)$  over  $U$  is a *minimal model* if

- (1)  $X'$  is normal and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial,
- (2)  $\phi$  extracts no divisors,
- (3)  $K_{X'} + \Delta'$  is nef over  $U$ , and
- (4)  $\phi$  is  $(K_X + \Delta)$ -negative, i.e.  $a(F, X, \Delta) < a(F, X', \Delta')$  for each  $\phi$ -exceptional divisor  $F$ .

Moreover, we say that *abundance* holds on  $(X', \Delta')$  if  $K_{X'} + \Delta'$  is semiample over  $U$ , i.e.  $K_{X'} + \Delta'$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear sum of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier semiample over  $U$  divisors. A *good minimal model* of a pair  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$  is a minimal model such that abundance holds.

**Remark 1.2.** A minimal model in this paper is a log terminal model as defined in [BCHM06].

**Remark 1.3.** Let  $X \rightarrow U$  and  $Y \rightarrow U$  be two projective morphisms of normal quasi-projective varieties. Let  $\phi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  be a birational contraction over  $U$ . Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors such that  $D' = \phi_*D$  is nef over  $U$ . Then  $\phi$  is  $D$ -negative if given a common resolution  $p : W \rightarrow X$  and  $q : W \rightarrow Y$ , we may write

$$p^*D = q^*D' + E,$$

where  $p_*E \geq 0$  and the support of  $p_*E$  contains the union of all  $\phi$ -exceptional divisors (cf. [BCHM06, Lemma 3.6.3]).

A proper morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of normal varieties is an *algebraic fiber space* if it is surjective with connected fibers. For an effective divisor  $\Gamma$  on  $X$ , we write  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\text{hor}} + \Gamma_{\text{ver}}$  where  $\Gamma_{\text{hor}}$  and  $\Gamma_{\text{ver}}$  are effective without common components such that  $\Gamma_{\text{hor}}$  dominates  $Y$  and  $\text{codim}(\text{Supp}(f(\Gamma_{\text{ver}}))) \geq 1$  on  $Y$  respectively.

For general results on Fourier-Mukai transforms, we refer to [Muk81]. We recall the definition of certain cohomological support loci which will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on an abelian variety  $A$ . Then we define for each  $i = 0, \dots, \dim(A)$  the subset

$$V^i(\mathcal{F}) := \{P \in \hat{A} \mid h^i(\mathcal{F} \otimes P) > 0\}.$$

These subsets are studied in [GL1], [GL2], and [Hac04].

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $L$  be a line bundle on a smooth projective variety  $X$ . For each non-negative integer  $m$ , we define

$$V_m(L) := \{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X) \mid h^0(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes P) > 0\}.$$

These subsets are studied in [CH].

## 2. PREPARATION

### 2.1. Good Minimal Models.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $(X_i, \Delta_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be two klt pairs over  $U$  and  $\alpha : (X_1, \Delta_1) \dashrightarrow (X_2, \Delta_2)$  be a birational map over  $U$  with  $\alpha_*\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is  $(K_{X_1} + \Delta_1)$ -negative and extracts no divisors, then  $(X_1, \Delta_1)$  has a good minimal model over  $U$  if  $(X_2, \Delta_2)$  does.

*Proof.* This is [BCHM06, Lemma 3.6.9].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a terminal pair over  $U$ . Then for any resolution  $\mu : (X', \Delta') \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$  with  $\Delta' := \mu_*^{-1}\Delta$ , a good minimal model of  $(X', \Delta')$  is also a good minimal model of  $(X, \Delta)$ .

*Proof.* Note that if we write  $K_{X'} + \Delta' = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + E$ , then  $E$  is effective and its support equals to the set of all  $\mu$ -exceptional divisors. Hence the same argument as in [BCHM06, Lemma 3.6.10] applies (without adding extra  $\mu$ -exceptional divisors).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\phi_i : (X, \Delta) \dashrightarrow (X_i, \Delta_i)$ ,  $i=1,2$ , be two minimal models of a klt pair  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$  with  $\Delta_i = (\phi_i)_*\Delta$ . Then the natural birational map  $\psi : (X_1, \Delta_1) \dashrightarrow (X_2, \Delta_2)$  over  $U$  can be decomposed into a sequence of  $(K_{X_1} + \Delta_1)$ -flops over  $U$ .

*Proof.* By [KM98, Theorem 3.52],  $(X_i, \Delta_i)$  are isomorphic in codimension one, and hence the argument in [Kaw07] applies.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a klt pair over  $U$ . If  $(X, \Delta)$  has a good minimal model over  $U$ , then any other minimal model of  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$  is also good.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X_g, \Delta_g)$  is a good minimal model of  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$  and  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta})$  is another minimal model of  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$ . From Theorem 2.3, the birational map  $\alpha : (X_g, \Delta_g) \dashrightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta})$  over  $U$  may be decomposed into a sequence of flops over  $U$ . If an intermediate step is  $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}$  with  $X_i$  a good minimal model of  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$ , then the morphism  $X_i \rightarrow Z := \mathbf{Proj}_U(K_{X_i} + \Delta_i)$  factors through the contraction morphism  $g_i : X_i \rightarrow Z_i$  by  $\psi : Z_i \rightarrow Z$ . Hence for the corresponding flop  $g_{i+1} : X_{i+1} \rightarrow Z_i$ , there exists a divisor  $H$  on  $Z$  ample over  $U$  such that  $K_{X_{i+1}} + \Delta_{i+1} = g_{i+1}^*\psi^*(H)$ . In particular,  $K_{X_{i+1}} + \Delta_{i+1}$  is semiample over  $U$  and  $X_{i+1}$  is also a good minimal model of  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** If a klt pair  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$  has a good minimal model over  $U$ , then any  $(K_X + \Delta)$  minimal model program with scaling of an ample divisor  $A$  over  $U$  terminates.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : (X, \Delta) \dashrightarrow (X_g, \Delta_g)$  with  $\Delta_g = \phi_* \Delta$  be a good minimal model of  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$  and  $f : X_g \rightarrow Z = \mathbf{Proj}_U(K_{X_g} + \Delta_g)$  the corresponding morphism over  $U$ . Note that  $\phi$  contracts exactly the divisorial part of  $\mathbf{B}(K_X + \Delta/U)$  (cf. [BCHM06, Lemma 3.6.3]).

Pick  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $(X_g, \Delta_g + t_0 A_g)$  with  $A_g = \phi_* A$  is klt and an ample divisor  $H$  on  $X_g$ . By [BCHM06], the outcome of running a  $(K_{X_g} + \Delta_g + t_0 A_g)$ -minimal model program with scaling of  $H$  over  $Z$  exists and is a minimal model  $\psi : X_g \dashrightarrow X'$  of  $(X_g, \Delta_g + t_0 A_g)$  over  $Z$ . As  $K_{X_g} + \Delta_g \equiv_Z 0$ , we have  $K_{X'} + \Delta' \equiv_Z 0$  where  $\Delta' = \psi_* \Delta_g$ . Hence those curves contracted in each step of this minimal model program over  $Z$  have trivial intersection with  $K_{X_g} + \Delta_g$  and negative intersection with  $A_g$ . In particular, this shows that  $X'$  is a minimal model of  $(X_g, \Delta_g + t A_g)$  over  $Z$  for all  $t \in (0, t_0]$ . Since  $\Delta' + t_0 A'$  with  $A' = \psi_* A_g$  is big over  $U$ , there exists only finitely many  $(K_{X'} + \Delta' + t_0 A')$ -negative extremal rays in  $\overline{NE}(X'/U)$  by [BCHM06, Corollary 3.8.2]. Hence by considering smaller  $t_0 > 0$ , we can assume that  $X'$  is a minimal model of  $(X_g, \Delta_g + t A_g)$  over  $U$  for all  $t \in (0, t_0]$ . As a map being negative is an open condition, we may choose  $t_0 > 0$  sufficiently small such that  $\psi \circ \phi$  is  $(K_X + \Delta + t A)$ -negative for all  $t \in (0, t_0]$ , and hence  $X'$  is a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta + t A)$  over  $U$  for all  $t \in (0, t_0]$ . This implies that  $\psi \circ \phi$  contracts exactly the divisorial part of  $\mathbf{B}(K_X + \Delta + t_0 A/U)$  which is contained in  $\mathbf{B}(K_X + \Delta/U)$  and is contracted by  $\phi$ . Hence  $\psi$  contracts no divisors, and in particular  $\psi \circ \phi$  is  $(K_X + \Delta + t A)$ -negative for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . This implies that  $X'$  is a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta + t A)$  over  $U$  for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Note that then  $\mathbf{B}(K_X + \Delta + t A/U)$  has the same divisorial components for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ .

Now choose  $0 < t_1 < t_0$  such that  $(X, \Delta + t_1 A)$  is klt and run a minimal model program of  $(X, \Delta + t_1 A)$  with scaling of  $A$  over  $U$ . By [BCHM06], the outcome  $\phi : X \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$  exists and is a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta + t_1 A)$  over  $U$ . Since being  $(K_X + \Delta + t A)$ -negative is an open condition and  $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta} + t \tilde{A} := \phi_*(K_X + \Delta + t A)$  is nef over  $U$  for  $t \in [t_1, t_0]$ , by picking  $t_0 > 0$  smaller if necessary we can assume that  $\tilde{X}$  is a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta + t A)$  over  $U$  for all  $t \in [t_1, t_0]$ . Since  $\mathbf{B}(K_X + \Delta + t A/U)$  has the same divisorial components for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ ,  $X'$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are isomorphic in codimension one. **For each**  $t \in [t_1, t_0]$ , by Theorem 2.3 we may decompose the birational map  $X' \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$  over  $U$  into *possibly different* sequences  $S_t$  of  $(K_{X'} + \Delta' + t A')$ -flops over  $U$  as  $X'$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are both minimal models of  $(X, \Delta + t A)$  over  $U$ . Since  $\Delta' + t A'$  is big over  $U$  for any  $t \in [t_1, t_0]$  and each outcome of a  $(K_{X'} + \Delta' + t A')$ -flop over  $U$  is also a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta + t A)$  over  $U$ , by finiteness of models in [BCHM06] we can only have finitely many  $(K_{X'} + \Delta' + t A')$ -flop over  $U$  as  $t$  ranges in  $[t_1, t_0]$ . In particular, we can find an uncountable subset  $T_1 \subseteq [t_1, t_0]$  such that for all  $t \in T_1$ , the first  $(K_{X'} + \Delta' + t A')$ -flops over  $U$  of the corresponding sequences  $S_t$ 's are all the same. Note that those curves contracted by this flop then have trivial intersection with  $A'$  and hence this flop is a  $(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ -flop over  $U$ . As each sequence  $S_t$  is finite, inductively we can find a  $t^* \in [t_1, t_0]$  such that all the steps of the sequence  $S_{t^*}$  connecting  $X'$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are  $(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ -flops over  $U$ . Since  $X'$  is a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$ , we then also have that  $\tilde{X}$  is a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta)$  over  $U$ . In particular, this shows that the minimal model program of  $(X, \Delta)$  with scaling of  $A$  over  $U$  terminates.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a klt pair over  $U$ . Suppose that  $(X, \Delta)$  has a good minimal model over  $U$ , then there exists a  $t_0 > 0$  such that: if  $\tilde{X}$  is a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta + t A)$  over  $U$  for all  $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$  for some  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq t_0$ , then  $\tilde{X}$  is a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta + t A)$  over  $U$  for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . In particular, the set of all such minimal models  $\tilde{X}$  is finite.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.5, there exists a  $t_0 > 0$  and a birational map  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  over  $U$  such that  $X'$  is a minimal model of  $(X, \Delta + t A)$  over  $U$  for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . By the proof of Proposition 2.5, there is a finite sequence of  $(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ -flops over  $U$  connecting  $X' \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$  which are also  $A'$ -trivial and hence  $(K_{X'} + \Delta' + t A')$ -flops over  $U$  for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , where  $\Delta'$  and  $A'$  are the proper transforms of  $\Delta$  and  $A$  on  $X'$ . Therefore the corollary follows. Note that  $X'$  and the varieties

given by  $(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ -flops over  $U$  appearing in the proof are all minimal models of the big pair  $(X, \Delta + t_0 A)$  over  $U$  and hence by [BCHM06] there can only be finitely many of these.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an algebraic fiber space of normal quasi-projective varieties such that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial with klt singularities and projective over  $Y$ . Suppose that the general fiber  $F$  of  $f$  has a good minimal model, then  $X$  is birational to some  $X'$  over  $Y$  such that the general fiber of  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y$  is a good minimal model.*

*Proof.* Pick an ample divisor  $H$  on  $X$  and run a minimal model program of  $X$  with scaling of  $H$  over  $Y$ . Suppose that  $\text{cont}_R : X \rightarrow W$  is the contraction morphism corresponding to an extremal ray  $R \in \overline{\text{NE}}(X/Y)$ . If  $R$  doesn't give an extremal contraction of  $F$ , then  $\text{cont}_R|_F = \text{id}_F$ . Otherwise it's easy to see that  $\text{cont}_R$  and  $\text{cont}_R|_F$  must be of the same type (divisorial or small). Suppose that we have a sequence of infinitely many flips which are nontrivial on the general fiber  $F$  with  $t_i > t_{i+1} > 0$  such that  $K_{F_i} + tH_i|_{F_i}$  is nef for all  $t \in [t_{i+1}, t_i]$ . Since  $F$  has a good minimal model, by Corollary 2.6 the set of such  $F_i$ 's is finite (modulo isomorphisms) and each  $F_i$  is a good minimal model of  $F$ . Then we get a contradiction by the same argument as in the last step of the proof of [BCHM06, Lemma 4.2]. Hence after finitely many steps, we may assume that all flips are trivial on the general fiber, and so we get an algebraic fiber space  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y$  such that the general fiber is a good minimal model.  $\square$

**2.2. Degenerate Divisors.** This part concerns the negativity property of a “degenerate” divisor. The following definition is taken from [Tak08].

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper surjective morphism of normal varieties and  $D \in \text{WDiv}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$  be an effective Weil divisor. Then

- $D$  is  $f$ -exceptional if  $\text{codim}(\text{Supp}(f(D))) \geq 2$ .
- $D$  is of insufficient fiber type if  $\text{codim}(\text{Supp}(f(D))) = 1$  and there exists a prime divisor  $\Gamma \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(D)$  such that  $f(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{Supp}(f(D))$  has codimension one in  $Y$ .

In either of the above cases, we say that  $D$  is *degenerate*. In particular, a degenerate divisor is always assumed to be effective.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an algebraic fiber space of normal projective varieties such that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. Then for a degenerate Weil divisor  $D$  on  $X$ , we can always find a component  $F \subseteq \text{Supp}(D)$  which is covered by curves contracted by  $f$  and intersecting  $D$  negatively. In particular, we have  $F \subseteq \mathbf{B}_-(D/Y)$ , the diminished base locus of  $D$  over  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $D = \sum r_i D_i$  with  $r_i > 0$  and  $D_i \in \text{Div}(X)$  prime.

Case 1: Suppose  $D$  is  $f$ -exceptional, and hence  $\dim Y \geq 2$ . Cutting by general hyperplanes, we reduce to a birational morphism of surfaces with  $E = \sum r_j \tilde{E}_j$ , where  $\tilde{E}_j = D_j \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n$  may be nonreduced and reducible and  $E = D \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n$ . Note that we may assume  $P := f(E)$  is a point. By Hodge index theorem (cf. [Băd01, Corollary 2.7]), the intersection matrix of irreducible components of  $f^{-1}(P)$  is negative-definite. Then  $(E)^2 < 0$ , and hence  $(\tilde{E}_j \cdot D) = (\tilde{E}_j \cdot E) < 0$  for some  $j$ . In particular,  $(\tilde{E}_j \cdot D_j) < 0$  and  $D_j$  is covered by curves intersecting  $D$  negatively.

Case 2: Suppose  $D$  is of insufficient fiber type. Cutting by general hyperplanes, we reduce to a morphism from a surface to a curve with  $E = \sum r_j \tilde{E}_j$  supported on fibers, where  $\tilde{E}_j = D_j \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n$  may be nonreduced and reducible and  $E = D \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n$ . Then by [Băd01, Corollary 2.6], we have  $(E)^2 \leq 0$ . But  $\text{Supp}(E)$  can not be the whole fiber, hence we can find  $\Gamma$  an effective divisor having no common components with  $E$  such that  $\text{Supp}(E + \Gamma) = f^{-1}(f(E))$ . For  $F := f^*(f_*(E))$ , then we can find  $a$  and  $b$  two positive real numbers such that  $aF \leq E + \Gamma \leq bF$ . If  $(E)^2 = 0$ , then  $E$  is nef and hence  $E \cdot F = 0$  implies  $E \cdot (E + \Gamma) = 0$ . But we have  $E \cdot \Gamma > 0$  which implies  $(E)^2 < 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $(E)^2 < 0$  and the same argument as in case 1 applies.

To prove that  $D_j \subseteq \mathbf{B}_-(D/Y)$ , we pick an ample divisor  $A$  on  $X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  a small rational number such that  $\tilde{E}_j \cdot (D + \epsilon A) < 0$ . Note that we then also have  $\tilde{E}_j \cdot (D + \epsilon A + f^*R) < 0$  for

any  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor  $R$  on  $Y$ . In particular, this shows that  $\tilde{E}_j \subseteq \mathbf{B}(D + \epsilon A/Y)$ . As  $\tilde{E}_j$  passes through a general point of  $D_j$ , we have  $D_j \subseteq \mathbf{B}(D + \epsilon A/Y) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_-(D/Y)$ .  $\square$

### 3. NONVANISHING THEOREMS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective irregular variety with  $\alpha := \text{alb}_X : X \rightarrow A := \text{Alb}(X)$  the Albanese morphism and  $\alpha' : X \rightarrow Y$  with general fiber  $F$  be the Stein factorization of  $\alpha : X \rightarrow \alpha(X) \subseteq A$ . Suppose  $\kappa(F) \geq 0$ , then  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ .*

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assumptions as in Theorem 3.1, then  $K_X$  is pseudo-effective.*

*Proof.* We have  $\alpha'_* \omega_{X/Y}^N \neq 0$  and is weakly positive by [Vie83]. Hence for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $H$  ample on  $Y$ ,  $\alpha'_* \omega_{X/Y}^N \otimes (\epsilon H)$  is big. As  $Y$  is finite over  $\alpha(X)$ , a subvariety in  $A$ , we have  $\kappa(Y) \geq 0$  and hence  $\alpha'_* \omega_X^N \otimes (\epsilon H)$  is also big. In particular  $\kappa(K_X + \frac{\epsilon}{N}(\alpha')^* H) \geq 0$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and hence  $K_X$  is pseudo-effective.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. Suppose  $\{D_k\}$  is a collection of effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the corresponding multiplier ideal sheaves  $\mathcal{J}_k := \mathcal{J}(D_k)$  satisfy  $\mathcal{J}_k \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{k'}$  whenever  $k \leq k'$ . If there exists a line bundle  $L$  such that  $L - D_k$  is nef and big for all  $k > 0$ , then  $\bigcap_{i>0} \mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{J}_k$  for  $k$  sufficiently large.*

*Proof.* The proof is taken from [Hac04, Proposition 5.1]. We reproduce the proof here for the convenience of the reader. Take a sufficiently ample divisor  $H$  on  $X$  and consider the line bundle  $M = L + (n+1)H$  for  $n = \dim(X)$ , then

$$M - D_k - (iH) \equiv L - D_k + (n-i+1)H$$

is nef and big for all  $k > 0$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence we have  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + M - iH) \otimes \mathcal{J}_k) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  by Nadel vanishing, and then  $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + M) \otimes \mathcal{J}_k$  is generated by global sections by Mumford regularity. In particular, if  $\mathcal{J}_k \neq \mathcal{J}_{k'}$  for  $k \leq k'$ , then we get a strict inclusion  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + M) \otimes \mathcal{J}_k) \subsetneq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + M) \otimes \mathcal{J}_{k'})$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  vector spaces. But this can not happen for infinitely many times, hence the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *The same setting as in Theorem 3.1. Then for  $H$  an ample divisor on  $A$  and a non-negative integer  $m$ ,  $\mathcal{J}(\|mK_X + \epsilon \alpha^* H\|)$  is independent of  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Hence we can define the sheaf*

$$\mathcal{F}_m := \alpha_*(\omega_X^m \otimes \mathcal{J}(\|(m-1)K_X + \epsilon \alpha^* H\|))$$

*on  $A$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  a sufficiently small rational number. Then for  $L$  any sufficiently ample line bundle on the dual abelian variety  $\hat{A}$  with  $\hat{L}$  the Fourier-Mukai transform of  $L$  on  $A$ , we have  $H^i(A, \mathcal{F}_m \otimes \hat{L}^\vee) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . From [Hac04, Corollary 3.2], we then have for any non-negative integer  $m$  the inclusions:*

$$V^0(\mathcal{F}_m) \supseteq V^1(\mathcal{F}_m) \supseteq \dots \supseteq V^n(\mathcal{F}_m).$$

*In particular,  $V^0(\mathcal{F}_m) = \phi$  implies  $\mathcal{F}_m = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement follows from Lemma 3.3 by taking  $L$  to be  $mK_X + \alpha^* H$  on  $X$ . The vanishing of cohomologies follows from [Hac04, Theorem 4.1] with a slight modification and hence we reproduce the argument here. Consider the isogeny  $\phi_L : \hat{A} \rightarrow A$  defined by  $L$ ,  $\hat{\alpha} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ , and  $f : \hat{X} = X \times_A \hat{A} \rightarrow X$ . Then as  $\phi_L^* \hat{L}^\vee = \bigoplus_{h^0(L)} L$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(A, \mathcal{F}_m \otimes \hat{L}^\vee) &\subseteq H^i(A, \mathcal{F}_m \otimes \hat{L}^\vee \otimes \phi_{L*} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}) \\ &= H^i(\hat{A}, \phi_L^* \mathcal{F}_m \otimes \phi_L^* \hat{L}^\vee) \\ &= \bigoplus H^i(\hat{A}, \hat{\alpha}_* f^*(\omega_X^m \otimes \mathcal{J}(\|(m-1)K_X + \epsilon \alpha^* H\|)) \otimes L) \\ &= \bigoplus H^i(\hat{A}, \hat{\alpha}_*(\omega_{\hat{X}}^m \otimes \mathcal{J}(\|(m-1)K_{\hat{X}} + \epsilon \hat{\alpha}^* \phi_L^* H\|)) \otimes L), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is the étale base change of multiplier ideal sheaves in [Laz04b, Theorem 11.2.16]. For  $i > 0$ , the cohomological groups above vanish by Nadel vanishing on  $\hat{X}$ , or by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on a log resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow \hat{X}$ . The final statement follows from [Muk81, Theorem 2.2].  $\square$

*Proof. (of Theorem 3.1)* For general point  $z \in Y$  and  $m$  sufficiently divisible, we have for the sheaves defined by  $\mathcal{F}'_m := \alpha'_*(\omega_X^m \otimes \mathcal{J}(\|(m-1)K_X + \epsilon\alpha^*H\|))$  on  $Y$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}'_m)_z &= H^0(F, \omega_F^m \otimes \mathcal{J}(\|(m-1)K_X + \epsilon\alpha^*H\|)|_F) \\ &\supseteq H^0(F, \omega_F^m \otimes \mathcal{J}(\|(m-1)K_X + \epsilon\alpha^*H\|_F)) \\ &= H^0(F, \omega_F^m \otimes \mathcal{J}(\|(m-1)K_F\|)) \\ &\supseteq H^0(F, \omega_F^m \otimes \mathcal{J}(\|mK_F\|)) \\ &= H^0(F, \omega_F^m) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first inclusion follows from the properties of the restriction of multiplier ideal sheaves in [Laz04b, Theorem 11.2.1], the second equality from the explanation of semipositivity in [Kol93, Proposition 10.2], and the last inequality from  $\kappa(F) \geq 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}'_m$  is non-trivial. In particular,  $\mathcal{F}_m$  is also non-trivial for  $m$  sufficiently divisible.

For  $m$  sufficiently divisible,  $\mathcal{F}_m \neq 0$  and hence  $V^0(\mathcal{F}_m) \neq \emptyset$  by Lemma 3.4. This shows that we can find an element  $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$  with  $H^0(X, \omega_X^m \otimes P) \neq 0$ . Following the argument of [CH, Theorem 3.2] (cf. Theorem 3.5),  $V_m(K_X)$  is a union of torsion translates of subvarieties in  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  for  $m \geq 1$  and in particular we can find an element  $P' \in \text{Pic}^0(X)_{\text{tor}}$  with  $H^0(X, \omega_X^m \otimes P') \neq 0$ . Then  $H^0(X, \omega_X^{md}) \neq 0$  for  $d = \text{ord}(P')$  in  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  and hence  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. Then the cohomological loci*

$$V_m(K_X) := \{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X) \mid h^0(X, \omega_X^{\otimes m} \otimes P) > 0\}$$

*for  $m$  a positive integer, if non-empty, is a finite union of torsion translates of abelian subvarieties of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $m = 1$ , then by a result of Simpson in [Sim93] the loci  $V_1(K_X)$  is a union of torsion translates of abelian subvarieties of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ . In general, let  $\tilde{P} \in V_m(K_X)$  and write  $\tilde{P} = mP$  for some  $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ . Let  $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution of  $|m(K_X + P)|$ , and  $D \in \mu^*|m(K_X + P)|$  be a divisor with simple normal crossing support. Consider the line bundle  $N := \mu^*\mathcal{O}_X((m-1)(K_X + P)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\lfloor \frac{m-1}{m}D \rfloor)$ , then it follows from [CL-H, Theorem 8.3] and [Sim93] that the cohomological loci

$$V^0(\omega_{X'} \otimes N) := \{R \in \text{Pic}^0(X') \mid h^0(\omega_{X'} \otimes R) > 0\}$$

is a union of torsion translates of abelian subvarieties of  $\text{Pic}^0(X')$ . Note that  $\text{Pic}^0(X') \cong \text{Pic}^0(X)$  as  $X$  is smooth, and hence we may identify the elements in these two groups (via pulling back by  $\mu$ ). It is easy to see that  $P \in V^0(\omega_{X'} \otimes N)$ , and hence there exists an abelian subvariety  $T \subseteq \text{Pic}^0(X)$  and a torsion element  $Q \in \text{Pic}^0(X)_{\text{tor}}$  such that

$$P \in T + Q \subseteq V^0(\omega_{X'} \otimes N).$$

By pushing forward, it is also easy to see that

$$T + Q + (m-1)P \subseteq V_m(K_X).$$

Now since  $rP \in rT$  for some positive integer  $r$  and  $rT$  is a group, we have that  $r(m-1)P \in rT$  and hence  $(m-1)P \in T + Q'$  for some torsion element  $Q' \in \text{Pic}^0(X)_{\text{tor}}$ . In particular, we have

$$\tilde{P} = mP \in T + Q + (m-1)P = T + Q + Q' \subseteq V_m(K_X),$$

and hence  $V_m(K_X)$  is a union of torsion translates of abelian subvarieties of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ .

Let  $V$  be an irreducible component of  $V_m(K_X)$  and denote  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  by  $A$ . Note that for any general point of  $V$ , there is a torsion translate of an abelian subvariety of  $A$  contained in  $V$  passing through it. It is well-known that if  $V$  is of general type, then there are no nontrivial abelian subvarieties of  $A$  contained in  $V$  passing through general points of  $V$ . In this case, a general point of  $V$  must be torsion and hence  $\dim V$  can only be zero since there are only countably many torsion points in  $A$ . It follows that  $V$  is a torsion point. If  $V$  is not of general type, then by [Uen75, Theorem 10.9] there is an algebraic fiber space  $f : V \rightarrow B$  with general fiber  $A_1$  induced by  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/A_1$ , where  $A_1$  is an abelian subvariety of  $A$  and  $B \subseteq A/A_1$  is a subvariety of general type. Since there are also torsion translate of abelian subvarieties of  $A/A_1$  contained in  $B$  passing through general points of  $B$ ,  $B$  is a torsion point and then  $V$  is a torsion translate of an abelian subvariety of  $A$ . Hence we conclude that the algebraic set  $V_m(K_X)$ , if non-empty, is a *finite* union of torsion translates of abelian subvarieties of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. MAIN THEOREMS

We will now establish the existence of good minimal models in several different situations.

##### 4.1. Kodaira Dimension $\kappa(X) = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety with  $\kappa(X) = 0$  and  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A := \text{Alb}(X)$  be the Albanese morphism. Suppose that  $|mK_X| \neq \emptyset$  for some  $m > 0$  and  $F$  is the unique effective divisor in  $|mK_X|$ , then  $\text{Supp}(F)$  contains all  $\alpha$ -exceptional divisors.*

*Proof.* Suppose that we have  $P_1(X) = P_2(X) = 1$ , where  $P_n(X) := h^0(X, \omega_X^n)$  is the  $n$ -th pluri-genus. Then by [EL97, Proposition 2.1], the origin of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  is an isolated point of the cohomological support loci

$$V_0(X) := \{y \in \text{Pic}^0(X) \mid h^0(X, \omega_X \otimes P_y) \neq 0\}.$$

By [EL97, Corollary 1.5], this implies that for every non-zero  $\eta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ , the map

$$\phi_\eta : H^0(X, \Omega_X^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\wedge \eta} H^0(X, \Omega_X^n)$$

determined by wedging with  $\eta$  is surjective. Now for a given  $\alpha$ -exceptional divisor  $E$ , the differential  $d\alpha : T_e X \rightarrow T_{\alpha(e)} A$  is not of full rank at a general point  $e \in E$ . In particular, if  $\eta_e$  is the non-zero 1-form given by pulling back a flat 1-form on  $A$  which is in  $\ker[(d\alpha)^\vee : T_{\alpha(e)}^* A \rightarrow T_e^* X]$ , then the surjectivity of  $\phi_{\eta_e}$  shows that  $F$  must pass through  $e$  (cf. [EL97, Proposition 2.2]). Since  $e \in E$  is a general point, we have  $E \subset F$ .

For the general case, let  $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution of  $(X, F)$ . Then we may write  $mK_{X'} = \mu^*(mK_X) + E \sim \mu^*F + E =: F' \geq 0$  where  $E$  is effective and consists of  $\mu$ -exceptional divisors,  $\text{Supp}(F')$  is a simple normal crossing divisor, and  $\mu_* F' = F$ . We take a cyclic cover  $f : Y \rightarrow X'$  defined by  $F' \in |mK_{X'}|$  followed by a resolution  $d : Y' \rightarrow Y$ . It is well-known that  $Y$  is normal with only quotient singularities and for  $f' := f \circ d$  we have

$$f'_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} (\omega_{X'}^i (-\lfloor \frac{i}{m} F' \rfloor))^\vee$$

and

$$f'_* \omega_{Y'} = \omega_{X'} \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_{X'}^i (-\lfloor \frac{i}{m} F' \rfloor) \right).$$

An easy computation then shows that  $\kappa(Y') = 0$  and  $P_1(Y') = P_2(Y') = 1$ . As the generic vanishing result still holds for the induced map  $\alpha' : Y' \rightarrow A$  (cf. [EL97, Remark 1.6]), the argument above then shows that for  $m > 0$  sufficiently divisible and the unique effective divisor  $\Gamma \in |mK_{Y'}|$ ,



$\text{Supp}(\Gamma)$  contains all  $\alpha'$ -exceptional divisors. It is then easy to see that  $\text{Supp}(F') = \text{Supp}(f'(\Gamma))$  and hence the lemma now follows by pushing forward  $\Gamma$  to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial variety with at most terminal singularities and  $\kappa(X) = 0$ . Suppose the general fiber  $F$  of the Albanese morphism has a good minimal model, then  $X$  has a good minimal model.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, we may assume that  $X$  is smooth. By [Kaw81], the Albanese map  $\alpha := \text{alb}_X : X \rightarrow A := \text{Alb}(X)$  is an algebraic fiber space. Moreover we have  $\kappa(F) = 0$  as  $C_{n,m}$  holds by [Kaw85].

By Proposition 2.7, after running a minimal model program of  $X$  with scaling of an ample divisor over  $A$ , we have a birational map  $X \dashrightarrow X'$  over  $A$  such that the general fiber  $F'$  of  $\alpha' : X' \rightarrow A$  is a good minimal model. Moreover we may assume that  $\mathbf{B}_-(K_{X'}/A)$  contains no divisorial components. Note that then  $\kappa(F') = 0$  implies  $K_{F'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ . For  $K_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \Gamma$  with  $\Gamma$  effective, we write  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\text{hor}} + \Gamma_{\text{ver}}$ . Then as  $\Gamma_{\text{hor}}|_{F'} = \Gamma|_{F'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{X'}|_{F'} \sim K_{F'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , we have  $\Gamma_{\text{hor}} = 0$ . Suppose there exists an effective divisor  $E \leq \Gamma$  with  $P := \alpha'_*(E)_{\text{red}}$  a codimension one point and  $E$  contains all divisors on  $X'$  dominating  $P$ . Then we have  $\text{Supp}((\alpha')^{-1}(P)) \subseteq \text{Supp}(\Gamma)$  (note that  $(\alpha')^{-1}(P)$  may have some exceptional divisorial components which are automatically contained in  $\text{Supp}(\Gamma)$  by Lemma 4.1). This implies that

$$0 = \kappa(X) = \kappa(X') \geq \kappa(\mathcal{O}_{X'}(\Gamma)) \geq \kappa(\mathcal{O}_A(P)) > 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\Gamma$  is of insufficient fiber type. By Lemma 2.9, we can find a component  $D$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $D \subseteq \mathbf{B}_-(K_{X'}/A)$ . But this is impossible as  $\mathbf{B}_-(K_{X'}/A)$  contains no divisorial components. Hence  $K_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  and  $X'$  is a good minimal model of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a projective variety with terminal singularities and  $\kappa(X) = 0$ . Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety of maximal Albanese dimension and  $\alpha : X \rightarrow V$  be an algebraic fiber space. If the general fiber  $F$  of  $\alpha$  has a good minimal model, then  $X$  has a good minimal model.*

*Proof.* By [Kaw85], we have  $\kappa(V) = 0$  and hence  $V$  is birational to its Albanese variety  $A := A(V)$ . We may then replace  $V$  by  $A$ . By Proposition 2.2, we may assume that  $X$  is smooth. Then we have  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$  an algebraic fiber space such that the general fiber  $F$  has a good minimal model. As noted in the proof of Lemma 4.1, the argument in Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 still works in this general case. Hence the corollary follows.  $\square$

## 4.2. Iitaka Fibration.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety with non-negative Kodaira dimension and at most terminal singularities. Suppose the general fiber  $F$  of the Iitaka fibration has a good minimal model, then  $X$  has a good minimal model.*

*Proof.* The theorem is certainly true for the case  $\kappa(X) = 0$ . For varieties of general type, the theorem follows from [BCHM06] and base point free theorem in [KM98]. Hence we may assume  $0 < \kappa(X) < \dim(X)$ .

By [BCHM06],  $R(K_X)$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and hence there is an integer  $d$  such that the truncated ring  $R^{[d]}(K_X)$  is generated in degree 1. Take a resolution  $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$  of  $X$  and  $|dK_X|$ , then

- $\mu^*|mdK_X| = |mM| + mF$  with  $|mM|$  base point free and  $mF \geq 0$  the fixed divisor for all  $m > 0$ ,
- $f := \phi_{|M|} : X' \rightarrow Y$  is birationally equivalent to the Iitaka fibration,
- $K_{X'} = \mu^*K_X + E$  with  $E$  effective and  $\mu$ -exceptional,
- $dK_{X'} \sim M + F + dE$  with  $F + dE$  effective and  $F + dE \subseteq \mathbf{B}(K_{X'})$ .

Write  $\Gamma := F + dE = \Gamma_{\text{hor}} + \Gamma_{\text{ver}}$  with respect to  $f$ . By Proposition 2.7, after running a minimal model program of  $X'$  with scaling of an ample divisor over  $Y$ , we may assume that the general fiber of  $f$  is a good minimal model. As the general fiber  $F$  of  $f$  has Kodaira dimension zero, we have  $\Gamma_{\text{hor}}|_F = (M + F + dE)|_F \sim (dK_{X'})|_F \sim dK_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  and hence  $\Gamma_{\text{hor}} = 0$ . In particular, we may assume  $F + dE$  consists of only vertical divisors. Note that the condition  $F + dE \subseteq \mathbf{B}(K_{X'})$  still holds after steps of a minimal model program.

Consider  $T$  an effective divisor with  $\text{Supp}(T) \subseteq \text{Supp}(F + dE)$  and the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}((j-1)T) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(jT) \rightarrow Q_j \rightarrow 0$$

on  $Y$  with  $j \geq 1$  and  $Q_j$  the quotient. After tensoring with  $\mathcal{O}_Y(k)$  for  $k$  sufficiently large, we have  $Q_j(k)$  is generated by global sections and  $H^1(Y, f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}((j-1)T) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(k)) = 0$ . As  $T \subseteq \mathbf{B}(K_{X'})$ , we have

$$H^0(Y, f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(jT) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(k)) = H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(kM + jT)) = H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(kM)) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(k))$$

for any  $j \geq 0$  as  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(M) = f^*\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ . Hence the exact sequence of cohomological groups shows that  $H^0(Y, Q_j(k)) = 0$  and then  $Q_j = 0$ . In particular,  $f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(jT) = \mathcal{O}_Y$  for any  $j \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $f_*(T)_{\text{red}} = P$  is a codimension one point on  $Y$  such that  $\text{Supp}(T)$  contains all divisors in  $X'$  dominating  $P$ . Note that we can find a big open subset  $U \subseteq Y$  such that the image of the exceptional divisors contained in  $f^*(P)$  is disjoint from  $U$  as it has codimension greater or equal to two. Then there is a positive integer  $j$  such that  $f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(jT)|_U \supseteq \mathcal{O}_Y(P)|_U$ . Since  $f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(jT) = \mathcal{O}_Y$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y(P)$  are reflexive, we have an inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_Y(P) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y$  which is impossible. In particular, this shows that  $F + dE$  is of insufficient fiber type over  $Y$ .

By Lemma 2.9, we can find a component of  $F + dE$  which is contained in  $\mathbf{B}_-(K_{X'}/Y)$ . The same argument as in Theorem 4.2 then says that this is impossible. Then  $dK_{\tilde{X}} \sim M$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(M) = f^*\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  is base point free and hence  $X'$  is a good minimal model of  $X$  by Lemma 2.2 (as  $\mu$  is a resolution of a terminal variety).  $\square$

#### 4.3. Albanese morphism.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety with Albanese map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A := \text{Alb}(X)$ . If the general fiber  $F$  of  $\alpha$  has dimension no more than three with  $\kappa(F) \geq 0$ , then  $X$  has a good minimal model.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1, we have  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ . Let  $X \dashrightarrow Z$  be the Iitaka fibration and  $X' \rightarrow X$  a resolution such that  $X' \rightarrow Z$  is a morphism. By [CH, Lemma 2.6], the image of the general fiber  $X'_z$  of  $X' \rightarrow Z$  over a general point  $z \in Z$  is a translation of a fixed abelian subvariety  $K$  in  $A$  and  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Alb}(Z) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Consider the Albanese map  $\alpha_z : X'_z \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X'_z)$  of  $X'_z$  which is an algebraic fiber space as  $\kappa(X'_z) = 0$ . As  $K$  is an abelian variety,  $X'_z \rightarrow K$  factors through  $\alpha_z$  by a surjective map  $\text{Alb}(X'_z) \rightarrow K$ . In particular, the general fiber  $F_z$  of  $\alpha_z : X'_z \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X'_z)$  is contained in  $F$  and hence has dimension no more than three. Then  $X'_z$  has a good minimal model by Theorem 4.2 as  $F_z$  does. Since  $X'_z$  is the general fiber of the Iitaka fibration of  $X$ , Theorem 4.4 implies  $X$  also has a good minimal model.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** In fact, we have

$$\dim(F_z) \leq \dim(F) - \kappa(X) + q(Z),$$

where  $q(Z)$  is the irregularity of  $Z$ . Hence if  $\dim(F) - \kappa(X) + q(Z) \leq 3$ , then Theorem 4.5 still holds.

## REFERENCES

- [Băd01] L. Bădescu: *Algebraic Surfaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001, Translated from the 1981 Romanian original by Vladimir Masek and revised by the author. MR1805816(2001k:14068)
- [BCHM06] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon, and J. McKernan: *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, 2006. arXiv:math.AG/0610203
- [CH] J.A. Chen and C. Hacon: *On the Irregularity of Image of Iitaka fibration*. Comm. in Algebra Vol. **32**, No. 1, pp. 203-125(2004).
- [CL-H] H. Clemens and C. Hacon: *Deformations of the trivial line bundle and vanishing theorems*, Amer. J. Math. 2002, 111(1), 159-175.
- [EL97] L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld: *Singularities of theta divisors and the birational geometry of irregular varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997), no. 1, 243–258.
- [Fuj02a] O. Fujino: *Algebraic fiber spaces whose general fibers are of maximal Albanese dimension*, 2002. arxiv:math.AG/0204262
- [Fuj02b] O. Fujino: *Remarks on algebraic fiber spaces*, 2002. arxiv:math.AG/0210166
- [Fuj09] O. Fujino: *On maximal Albanese dimensional varieties*, 2009. arxiv:math.AG/0911.2851
- [GL1] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld: *Deformation theory, generic vanishing theorems, and some conjectures of Enriques, Catanese and Beauville*. Inventiones Math **90** (1987), 389-407.
- [GL2] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld: *Higher obstructions to deforming cohomology groups of line bundles*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 4, **1**, 1991, 87-103.
- [Hac04] C. Hacon: *A derived category approach to generic vanishing theorems*. Journ. Reine Angew. Math. 575 (2004). arXiv:math.AG/0308195.
- [HK] C. Hacon and S. Kovács: *Recent advances in the classification of algebraic varieties*, preprint.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne: *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Np. 52. MR0463157(57 #3116)
- [Kaw81] Y. Kawamata: *Characterization of abelian varieties*, Compositio Math. **43**, 1981, 253-276.
- [Kaw85] Y. Kawamata: *Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **363**(1985), 1-46.
- [Kaw07] Y. Kawamata: *Flops connect minimal model*, 2007. arxiv:math.AG/0704.1013v1
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori: *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [Kol93] J. Kollár: *Shafarevich maps and plurigenera of algebraic varieties*, Inv. Math. 113 (1993), 177-215.
- [Laz04a] R. Lazarsfeld: *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete<sup>3</sup>. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. MR2095472 (2005k:14001a)
- [Laz04b] R. Lazarsfeld: *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete<sup>3</sup>. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals. MR2095472 (2005k:14001b)
- [Mor85] S. Mori: *Classification of Higher-Dimensional Varieties*, Algebraic Geometry (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **46**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1987, 269-331.
- [Muk81] S. Mukai: *Duality between  $D(X)$  and  $D(\hat{X})$  with its application to Picard sheaves*, Nagoya math. J. **81**, 1981, 153-175.
- [Sim93] C. Simpson: *Subspaces of moduli spaces of rank one local systems* Ann. scient. École Norm. Sup. 1993, 26, 361-401.
- [Siu09] Y.T. Siu: *Abundance conjecture*, 2009. arXiv:math.AG/0912.0576
- [Tak08] S. Takayama: *On uniruled degenerations of algebraic varieties with trivial canonical divisor*, Math. Z. (2008) 259:487-501.
- [Uen75] K. Ueno: *Classification Theory of Algebraic Varieties and Compact Complex Spaces*, Springer Lecture Note 439, 1975.
- [Vie83] E. Viehweg: *Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension of certain fiber spaces*. Adv. Studies in Pure Math 1, 1983, 329-353.

- [Vie95] E. Viehweg: *Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik 30 (Springer, 1995).

C-J.L.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, 155 SOUTH 1400 EAST, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, USA

*E-mail address:* lai@math.utah.edu